

# Random sets

Random sets and stochastic processes

Ilya Molchanov

*University of Bern, Switzerland*

## Definitions

- $\mathcal{F}$  family of closed subsets in locally compact Hausdorff second countable space  $\mathbb{E}$  (usually  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}^d$ ).
- $X$  is a **random closed set** in  $\mathbb{E}$ .
- $\zeta(t), t \geq 0$  or  $t \in \mathbb{E}$ , is a stochastic process.

## Content of the lecture

- Random sets  $X_t$  depending on parameter  $t \geq 0$  (time).
  - set-valued martingales
  - applications in quantitative finance
  
- Random sets that appear in relation to graphs and level sets of stochastic processes
  - Markov sets
  - epigraphs and stochastic optimisation

## Set-valued martingales

□  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , an adapted sequence of integrable random sets,  
i.e.  $X_n$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_n$ -measurable

□  $X_n$  is called a **martingale** if

$$\mathbf{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n) = X_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

□ The **conditional expectation** is understood as random closed set  $Y$   
such that  $h(Y, u) = \mathbf{E}(h(X_{n+1}, u)|\mathfrak{F}_n)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .

## Sub- and supermartingales

- $X_n$  is supermartingale if  $\mathbf{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n) \leq X_n$
- $X_n$  is submartingale if  $\mathbf{E}(X_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n) \geq X_n$
- If  $X_n$  is singleton all these definitions leads to the usual martingale concept.
- It is not possible to turn a submartingale into supermartingale by changing its sign.

## Main tools

□ **Support functions**,  $h(X_n, u)$  becomes a martingale if  $X_n$  is a set-valued martingale.

□ **Martingale selections**, i.e. a sequence  $\xi_n$  of selections  $\xi_n \in X_n$ , which forms a martingale.

Any set-valued martingale admits at least one martingale selection and, moreover, it is possible to find a dense family of such selections, i.e. to “fill”  $X_n$  by its martingale selections.

## Convergence theorem

**Theorem** (Hiai–Umegaki). If  $X_n$  is integrably bounded for each  $n \geq 1$  and  $\|X_n\|$  is uniformly integrable for a set-valued martingale  $X_n$ , then there exists a unique integrably bounded random convex closed set  $X_\infty$  such that  $X_n = \mathbf{E}(X_\infty | \mathfrak{F}_n)$  and  $\rho_H(X_n, X_\infty) \rightarrow 0$ .

Generalisations exist for supermartingales and martingales with possibly unbounded values.

## Optional sampling

If  $X_n$  is a set-valued martingale and  $\tau_n$  is an increasing sequence of bounded stopping times, then  $X_{\tau_n}$  is a set-valued martingale too.



## Application: transaction costs

Bid price  $\leq$  Ask price

- Price is interval  $[z', z]$ .
- Price regions for multiple assets can be described as **convex sets**.
- A combination  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$  (amounts of all assets) costs  $p(u)$ .  
Then.  $p(u + u') \leq p(u) + p(u')$  and  $p(\lambda u) = \lambda p(u)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.  $p(u)$  is the **support function** of a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$p(u) = h(Z, u) = \sup\{\langle z, u \rangle : z \in Z\} = \sup\langle Z, u \rangle.$$

$Z$  is a price set (that may depend on time)

## Set-valued price process

□  $Z(t)$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T$  satisfies a sort of **no-arbitrage condition** if and only if  $Z(t)$  possesses at least one **strict martingale selection** with respect to a martingale measure  $\mathbf{P}^*$ , i.e. a martingale  $\xi(t)$ , such that  $\xi(t)$  a.s. belongs to the relative interior of  $Z(t)$  for all  $t$ .

□ The set  $Z^*(t)$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T$ , of all martingale selections determines the prices of claims. If  $C$  is a claim (a random vector that gives amounts of assets), then its no-arbitrage price  $p(C)$  satisfies

$$-\mathbf{E}^* h(Z^*(T), -C) \leq p(C) \leq \mathbf{E}^* h(Z^*(T), C),$$

where  $\mathbf{E}^*$  is the martingale measure.

□ Important issue. Find ways to identify the largest set-valued martingale contained in an adapted set-valued process.

## Level sets of stochastic processes

$$X = \{t \geq 0 : \zeta_t = 0\}$$

Two main issues

- properties of  $X$  arising from particular stochastic process  $\zeta$  (or family of processes)
- intrinsic characterisation of level sets for stochastic processes (i.e. conditions on  $X \subset [0, \infty)$  that imply that  $X$  is the level set of a stochastic process from a certain family)

## Strong Markov sets

$X \subset [0, \infty)$ ,  $X^b$  is the set of isolated or right-limit points of  $X$

$$\theta_t(X) = X \cap [t, \infty) - t$$

**Definition.**  $X$  adapted to filtration  $\mathfrak{F}_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is said to be **homogeneous strong Markov** if  $0 \in X$  a.s. and, for every stopping time  $\tau$  with  $\tau \in X^b$  on  $\{\tau < \infty\}$

- (i)  $\theta_\tau(X)$  and  $X \cap [0, \tau]$  are conditionally independent given  $\{\tau < \infty\}$ ;
- (ii) conditional distribution of  $\theta_t(X)$  given  $\{\tau < \infty\}$  coincides with the distribution of  $X$ .

Origin: recurrent events introduced by W.Feller (discrete time), later studied by J.F.C.Kingman as regenerative phenomena (continuous time)

$$\mathbf{P}\{\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset X\} = p(t_1)p(t_2 - t_1) \cdots p(t_n - t_{n-1})$$

where  $p(t) = \mathbf{P}\{t \in X\}$  is called the  $p$ -function of  $X$ .

## Level sets of strong Markov processes

strong Markov property = Markov property at stopping times

**Theorem.** (Krylov–Yushkevich & Hoffman-Jørgensen)  $X$  is strong Markov iff there exists a right-continuous real valued strong Markov process  $\xi_t$  such that  $X = \{t : \xi_t = 0\}$  and  $\xi_0 = 0$  a.s.

Most difficult part: If  $X$  is strong Markov, show that the backward recurrence process  $x_t^- = t - \sup X \cap [0, t]$  is a strong Markov process, so that one can set  $\xi_t = x_t^-$ .

## Subordinators

Every strong Markov set can be obtained as the range of a **subordinator** (non-decreasing process with independent increments), i.e.

$$X = \{\zeta_t : t \geq 0\}$$

E.g. if  $X = \{t : w_t = 0\}$  is zero set for the Wiener process, then  $\mathbf{E} e^{-t\zeta_s} = e^{-s\Phi(t)}$  with  $\Phi(t) = \sqrt{t}$ .

It is possible to express the hitting probability

$$\mathbf{P}\{X \cap (a, b] \neq \emptyset\} = \mathbf{P}\{\zeta_t \in (a, b] \text{ for some } t\}$$

in terms of the characteristics of the subordinator  $\zeta$ .

## Intrinsic characterisations of level sets

Open problems is to characterise level sets of

- Gaussian continuous processes
- Markov processes (not strong Markov)
- diffusions

etc.

## Epigraphs

$$f : \mathbb{E} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$$
$$\text{epi } f = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{R} : t \geq f(x)\}$$

□  $f$  is **lower semicontinuous**, i.e.

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \geq f(a) \quad \text{for all } a ,$$

if and only if  $\text{epi } f$  is a closed set

□ Idea: treat functions as closed sets (their epigraphs).



# Epiconvergence

□  $f_n$  epiconverges to  $f$  if  $\text{epi } f_n \rightarrow \text{epi } f$  as closed sets.

□ Recall that  $F_n \rightarrow F$  means

- $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for an open  $G$  implies  $F_n \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for all large  $n$
- $F \cap K = \emptyset$  for compact  $K$  implies that  $F_n \cap K = \emptyset$  for all large  $n$ .

□ Epiconvergence is the weakest convergence type that implies convergence of minima, i.e.  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} f$  implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\inf f_n) \leq \inf f$$

with the equality if  $f_n$  has a relatively compact sequence of  $\varepsilon$ -optimal points (e.g. if  $\mathbb{E}$  is compact).

## Normal integrands

□  $\zeta(x) = \zeta(x, \omega)$  is **normal integrand** if  $\text{epi } \zeta(\cdot, \omega)$  is a random closed set in  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

□ Possible to treat non-separable stochastic processes, e.g. if

$$\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = \xi \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for a random point  $\xi$ , then  $\text{epi } \zeta$  is a non-trivial random closed set, although  $\zeta(x) = 0$  a.s. for all  $x$  if  $\xi$  has a continuous distribution.

□ Weak epiconvergence of normal integrands.

□ An integrand is **sharp** if  $\partial \text{epi } \zeta = \text{epi } \zeta$  and the family of points  $(x, t) \in \text{epi } \zeta$  such that  $(x, s) \notin \text{epi } \zeta$  for all  $s < t$  is locally finite.

## Stochastic optimisation

**Theorem.** If  $\zeta_n$  weakly epiconverges to  $\zeta$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\{\inf \zeta < t\} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\inf \zeta_n < t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- $\inf \zeta_n$  converges in distribution to  $\inf \zeta$  if for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a compact set  $K$  such that  $\{\zeta_n \leq t\} \subset K$  a.s. for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- This condition always holds if  $\mathbb{E}$  is compact itself.

## Convergence of averages

□  $\zeta$  normal integrand,  $\mathbf{E} \zeta(x)$  is well defined (perhaps, infinite).

□  $\zeta_n$  are i.i.d copies of  $\zeta$ , then

$$\eta_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(x)$$

estimates  $\mathbf{E} \zeta(x)$  for all  $x$ .

**Theorem** (Artstein–Wets). Assume that each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  has an open neighbourhood  $G$  such that  $\zeta(x) \geq \alpha$  for all  $x \in G$  and an integrable random variable  $\alpha$ . Then  $\zeta_n$  epiconverges to  $\mathbf{E} \zeta$  a.s. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Maximum likelihood estimators

Maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  appears as the maximiser of the log-likelihood function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_{\theta}(x_i)$$

Under certain technical conditions, one can derive consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator.

## Polyhedral approximations

- $F$  strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with twice differentiable boundary
- $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  i.i.d. on  $F$  with density  $f$  and  $\Xi_n = \text{co}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$
- Define  $\eta_n(u) = h(F, u) - h(\Xi_n, u)$ .
- Then  $H_n = \text{epi } \eta_n = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$  where

$$X_i = \{(u, t) : \|u\| = 1, t \geq 0, \langle u, \xi_i \rangle \geq h(F, u) - t\}$$

It is possible to use limit theorems for unions of random closed sets.

**Theorem.** If  $f$  does not vanish identically on  $\partial F$ , then  $n\eta_n$  weakly epiconverges to a sharp integrand generated by the Poisson process with intensity measure

$$\Lambda(K) = \int_{F_K} f(x) \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(dx) dt, \quad K \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0, \infty),$$

where

$$F_K = \bigcup_{(u,t) \in K} \{(x, s) : x \in \partial F, \mathbf{n}(x) = u, s \in [0, t]\}.$$

□ If  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial F$  and  $\langle f'(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle$  does not vanish identically, then  $\sqrt{n}\eta_n$  weakly epiconverges.

## Graphical convergence

### Non-traditional convergence

- $f_n(t) = nt \mathbb{I}_{0 \leq t \leq 1/n} + \mathbb{I}_{t > 1/n}$  converges pointwisely to  $f(t) = \mathbb{I}_{t > 0}$  but not uniformly
- $f_n(t) = nt, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , “converges” to the vertical line
- $f_n(t) = \sin nt, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , “fills” the rectangle  $[0, 1] \times [-1, 1]$

□ Formalise as convergence of **graphs** (also for possible set-valued functions  $F_n$ )

$$\{(t, x) : t \in \mathbb{E}, x \in F_n(t)\}$$

In the single-valued case  $F_n(t) = \{f_n(t)\}$ .



## Random step-functions

$\alpha_n, n \geq 0$ , i.i.d. random variables

Step-function

$$\zeta_n(t) = a_n^{-1} \alpha_{[nt]}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

The graphs of  $\zeta_n$  weakly converge as random closed sets iff

$$n\mathbf{P}\{\alpha_0 \in a_n[x, y]\} \rightarrow \nu([x, y])$$

for a measure  $\nu$ . The limiting random set has the capacity functional

$$T_X(K) = 1 - \exp\{-(\text{mes}_1 \otimes \nu)(K)\}, \quad K \subset [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

## Random broken lines

The **linearly interpolated** step-function  $\zeta_n$  graphically converges to random closed set  $Z$  with the capacity functional

$$T_Z(K) = 1 - \exp\{-(\text{mes}_1 \otimes \nu)(\text{co}(K \cup K'))\}, \quad K \subset [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $K'$  is the projection of  $K$  onto  $[0, 1]$ .

## Excursion sets

$f$  is lower semicontinuous function

Then

$$F_t = \{f \leq t\} = \{x : f(x) \leq t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

becomes an **increasing set-valued process**.

- Consider various convergence concepts for the process  $F_t$ , in particular, the Skorohod convergence.
- Define operations with normal integrands by performing set-operations with their excursion sets and then stacking them together to obtain the resulting function.

## References

- [1] Ch. Hess. Epi-convergence of sequences of normal integrands and strong consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator. *Ann. Statist.*, 24:1298–1315, 1996.
- [2] Ch. Hess. Conditional expectation and martingales of random sets. *Pattern Recognition*, 32:1543–1567, 1999.
- [3] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki. Integrals, conditional expectations, and martingales of multivalued functions. *J. Multiv. Anal.*, 7:149–182, 1977.
- [4] J. Hoffman-Jørgensen. Markov sets. *Math. Scand.*, 24:145–166, 1969.
- [5] K. Kaval and I. Molchanov. Link-save trading. *J. Math. Econ.*, 42:710–728, 2006.
- [6] J. F. C. Kingman. *Regenerative Phenomena*. Wiley, London, 1972.
- [7] I. Molchanov. *Theory of Random Sets*. Springer, London, 2005.
- [8] G. Salinetti and R. J.-B. Wets. On the convergence in distribution of measurable multifunctions (random sets), normal integrands, stochastic processes and stochastic infima. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 11:385–419, 1986.