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## I. Large dimensional sample covariance matrices

The Marchenko-Pastur Distribution

## I. Marchenko-Pastur law

## Sample covariance matrix and its spectrum

- $H=H_{p \times n}=\left(Z_{j, k}: j=1, . ., p, k=1, \ldots, n\right)$ i.i.d.r.v.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{1,1}\right)=0, \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{1,1}\right|^{2}\right)=1, \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_{1,1}\right|^{4}\right)<\infty
$$

- Sample covariance matrix

$$
S_{n}=\frac{1}{n} H H^{*}
$$

- If $Z_{j, k}$ have $N(0,1)$ distribution, $S_{n}$ is Wishart random matrix.
- Empirical Spectral Distribution (ESD)

$$
\widehat{F}_{p}^{S_{n}}=\widehat{F}_{p}^{\frac{1}{n} H H^{*}}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_{\lambda_{j}\left(S_{n}\right)} .
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda_{p}\left(S_{n}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{1}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are eigenvalues of $S_{n}$.

## I. Marchenko-Pastur theorem

Mat. Sb. (1967)
Theorem
If $p / n \rightarrow c>0, \widehat{F}_{p}^{S_{n}}$ converges weakly in probability to the Marchenko-Pastur (MP) distribution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{c}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f_{c}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & \text { if } c \geq 1 \\
(1-c) \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+f_{c}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & \text { if } 0<c<1,
\end{array}\right. \\
f_{c}(x)=\frac{c}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)} \mathbf{1}_{[a, b]}(x) \\
a=(1-\sqrt{c})^{2}, \quad b=(1+\sqrt{c})^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Haagerup \& Thorbjorsen (2003, Expo. Math.), Gaussian complex entries......
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\end{gathered}
$$

- Haagerup \& Thorbjorsen (2003, Expo. Math.), Gaussian complex entries......
- MP distribution plays in free probability the role Poisson distribution does in classical probability.


# II. RMT and Wireless Communications <br> Pioneering work of Emre Telatar 

## II. RMT and Wireless Communications

## A Model for Multiple Inputs-Multiple Outputs (MIMO) antenna systems

Telatar (1999), Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels.
European Transactions on Telecommunications.

- A $p \times 1$ complex Gaussian random vector $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{p}\right)^{\top}$ has a $Q$-circularly symmetric complex Gaussian distribution if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\mathbf{u}}-\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{u}}])(\hat{\mathbf{u}}-\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{u}}])^{*}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re}[Q] & -\operatorname{Im}[Q] \\
\operatorname{Im}[Q] & \operatorname{Re}[Q]
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some nonnegative definite Hermitian $p \times p$ matrix $Q$ where

$$
\hat{\mathbf{u}}=\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Re}\left(u_{p}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(u_{p}\right)\right]^{\top}
$$

## II. Telatar: RMT and Channel Capacity

- $n_{T}$ antennas at trasmitter and $n_{R}$ antennas at receiver.
- Linear channel with Gaussian noise

$$
\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{n}
$$

- $\mathbf{x}$ is the $n_{T}$-dimensional input vector. $\left(n_{T}=n\right)$.
- $\mathbf{y}$ is the $n_{R}$-dimensional output vector. $\left(n_{R}=p\right)$.
- $\mathbf{n}$ is the receiver 0-mean Gaussian noise, $\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{n n}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{I}_{n_{T}}$.
- The $n_{R} \times n_{T}$ random matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is the channel matrix.
- $\mathbf{H}=\left\{h_{j k}\right\}$ is a random matrix. It models the propagation coefficients between each pair of trasmitter-receiver antennas.
- $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are independent.
- $h_{j k}$ are i.i.d. complex r.v. with 0-mean and variance one $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{j k}\right) \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right.$ independent of $\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{j k}\right) \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$.
- Total power constraint $P$ : upper bound for variance $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}$ of the input signal amplitude.
- Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)

$$
S N R=\frac{\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} / n_{T}}{\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{n}\|^{2} / n_{R}}=\frac{P}{n_{T}} .
$$

- Channel capacity is the maximum data rate which can be transmitted reliably over a channel (Shannon (1948)).
- The capacity of this MIMO system channel is

$$
C\left(n_{R}, n_{T}\right)=\max _{Q} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}\left[\log _{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n_{R}}+\mathbf{H} Q \mathbf{H}^{*}\right)\right] .
$$

- Maximum capacity when $Q=S N R I_{n_{T}}$

$$
C\left(n_{R}, n_{T}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}\left[\log _{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n_{R}}+\frac{P}{n_{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{*}\right)\right]
$$

- Maximum capacity when $Q=S N R \mathrm{I}_{n_{T}}$
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C\left(n_{R}, n_{T}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}\left[\log _{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n_{R}}+\frac{P}{n_{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{*}\right)\right]
$$

- In terms of ESD $\widehat{F}_{n_{T}}^{\frac{1}{n_{T}}} \mathbf{H H}^{*}$ of sample covariance $\frac{1}{n_{T}} \mathbf{H H}^{*}$
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$$
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- For fixed $P$

$$
C\left(n_{R}, n_{T}\right) \sim n_{R} K(c, P)
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- Increase capacity with more transmitter and receiver antennas with same total power constraint $P$.


## II. RMT and Wireless Communication

## Some further developments (NOT TODAY)

- Non Gaussian distribution for i.i.d. entries $h_{i j}$ of the channel matrix H: universality of the Marchenko-Pastor law.
- Bai \& Silverstein (2010). Spectral Analysis of Large Dimensional Random Matrices.
- Correlation models for $\mathbf{H}$, Kronecker correlation, etc..
- Lozano, Tulino \& Verdú. (2005). Impact of antenna correlation on the capacity of multiantenna channels. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theor.
- Lozano, Tulino \& Verdú (2006). Capacity-achieving input covariance for single-user multi-antenna channels. IEEE Trans. Wireless Comm.


## II. RMT and Wireless Communication

## Further developments (NOT TODAY)

- Books on RMT and Wireless Communications:
- Tulino \& Verdú (2004). Random Matrix Theory and Wireless Communications.
- Couillet \& Debbah (2011). Random Matrix Methods for Wireless Communications.
- Bai, Fang \& Ying-Chang (2014). Spectral Theory of Large Dimensional Random Matrices and Its Applications to Wireless Communications and Finance Statistics.
- Main problem is the computation of the asymptotic channel capacity, mainly done by a technique introduced by Girko (1990), solving a non-linear system of functional equations.
- Couillet, R., Debbah, M., and Silverstein, J. (2011). A deterministic equivalent for the analysis of correlated MIMO multiple access channels. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theor.


## II. RMT and Wireless Communication

## Further developments (NOT TODAY)

- Recently, tools from Operator-valued free probability theory have been successful used as alternative to approximate the asymptotic capacity of new models:
- Ding (2014), Götze, Kösters \& Tikhomirov (2015), Hachem, Loubaton \& Najim (2007), Shlyakhtenko (1996), Helton, Far \& Speicher (2007), Speicher, Vargas \& Mai (2012), Belinschi, Speicher, Treilhard \& Vargas (2014), Belinschi, Mai \& Speicher, R. (2015),
- Diaz-Torres \& PA (2017). On the capacity of block multiantena channels. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theor.


## II. Time-varying random matrices: why?

## Motivation for TODAY

Couillet \& Debbah (2011), Random Matrix Methods for Wireless Communications. Chapter 19, Perspectives:

- Performance analysis of a typical network with users in motion according to some stochastic behavior, is not accessible to this date in the restrictive framework of random matrix theory.


## II. Time-varying random matrices: why?

## Motivation for TODAY

Couillet \& Debbah (2011), Random Matrix Methods for Wireless Communications. Chapter 19, Perspectives:

- Performance analysis of a typical network with users in motion according to some stochastic behavior, is not accessible to this date in the restrictive framework of random matrix theory.
- It is to be believed that random matrix theory for wireless communications may move on a more or less long-term basis towards random matrix process theory for wireless communications. Nonetheless, these random matrix processes are nothing new and have been the interest of several generations of mathematicians.


## Part III: Dyson-Brownian motion

## III. Hermitian Brownian motion

- $\mathbf{B}(t)=\left(B_{n}(t)\right)_{n \geq 1}, t \geq 0$.
- $B_{n}(t)$ is $n \times n$ Hermitian Brownian motion:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n}(t)=\left(b_{i j}(t)\right), t \geq 0 \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(b_{i j}(t)\right) \sim \operatorname{Im}\left(b_{i j}(t)\right) \sim N\left(0, t\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) / 2\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\left(b_{i j}(t)\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(b_{i j}(t)\right), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ are independent one-dimensional Brownian motions.

- $\left(\lambda_{1}(t), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ process of eigenvalues of $\left\{B_{n}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$

$$
\lambda_{1}(t) \geq \lambda_{2}(t) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}(t)
$$

## III. Dyson-Brownian motion

Time dynamics of the eigenvalues, dimension $n$ fixed
Theorem
Dyson (1962):

1) If eigenvalues start at different positions, they never collide

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_{1}(t)>\lambda_{2}(t)>\ldots>\lambda_{n}(t) \quad \forall t>0\right)=1 .
$$

2) They satisfy the Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE)

$$
\lambda_{i}(t)=\lambda_{i}(0)+W_{i}(t)+\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\lambda_{j}(s)-\lambda_{i}(s)}, i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

$\forall t>0$, where $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{n}$ are 1-dimensional independent Bms.

- Brownian part + repulsion force (at any time $t$ ).


## III. Time-varying Wigner theorem and law of Free Bm

- Consider the Dyson spectral measure-valued processes

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\left\{\lambda_{j}(t) / \sqrt{n}\right\}}, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1
$$

- Notation: For $f \mu$-integrable function $\langle\mu, f\rangle=\int f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x)$.
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## III. Time-varying Wigner theorem and law of Free Bm

- Consider the Dyson spectral measure-valued processes

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\left\{\lambda_{j}(t) / \sqrt{n}\right\}}, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1
$$

- Notation: For $f \mu$-integrable function $\langle\mu, f\rangle=\int f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x)$.
- Uniform Wigner theorem

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\left\langle\mu_{t}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{w}_{t}, f\right\rangle\right|=0, \forall f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R})\right)=1 .
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- The family of probability measures $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the Law of the Free Brownian motion,

$$
\mathrm{w}_{t}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t} \sqrt{4 t-x^{2}} 1_{[-2 \sqrt{t}, 2 \sqrt{t}]}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

- Semicircle distribution plays in free probability the role Gaussian distribution does in classical probability.


## III. Smooth vs non smooth SDE

A detour to understand why free Bm

- Interacting SDE with both smooth drift \& diffusion coefficients $\beta$ and $\alpha$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{n, i}(t) & =X_{n, i}(0)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \beta\left(X_{n, j}(s), X_{n, i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} W_{i}^{(n)}(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \alpha\left(X_{n, j}(s), X_{n, i}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

- While Dyson-Brownian motion has non smooth drift

$$
X_{n, i}(t)=X_{n, i}(0)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_{i}^{(n)}(t)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{X_{n, i}(s)-X_{n, j}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

- Empirical measure valued process

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{X_{n, j}(t)}, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1
$$

## III. Smooth vs non smooth SDE

A detour to understand why free Bm

For Interacting SDE with both smooth drift \& diffusion coefficients:

- McKean (1967): $\left\{\mu_{t}^{(n)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly in probability to $\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, which is the law of a stochastic differential equation.
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## III. Smooth vs non smooth SDE

A detour to understand why free Bm

For Interacting SDE with both smooth drift \& diffusion coefficients:

- McKean (1967): $\left\{\mu_{t}^{(n)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ converges weakly in probability to $\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, which is the law of a stochastic differential equation.
- Interacting SDE with non smooth drift coefficient arise from eigenvalue processes of matricial processes [Bru (1989), Rogers \& Shi (1993), Konig \& O ${ }^{\prime}$ Connell (2001), Cabanal-Duvillard \& Guionnet (2001), Katori \& Tanemura (2004)].
- The family of probabilities $\left\{w_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is not the law of a SDE equation, but the law of a noncommutative process: Free Brownian motion.

Part IV: Free Brownian motion

## IV. Noncommutative probability spaces

- A noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a linear functional $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=1$. Elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are called noncommutative random variables.
- We should think of $\varphi$ as playing the role of the expectation in classical probability theory.
- Distribution $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (bounded support), of a self-adjoint $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ in a $C^{*}$-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$

$$
\varphi(f(\mathbf{a}))=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad \forall f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R})
$$

- A family of subalgebras $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ in a noncommutative probability space is free (freely independent) if

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n}\right)=0
$$

whenever $\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)=0, \mathbf{a}_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i_{j}}$, and $i_{1} \neq i_{2}, i_{2} \neq i_{3}, \ldots$, $i_{n-1} \neq i_{n}$.
IV. Free independence allows to compute joint moments

## Example

Computation of $\varphi(\mathbf{a b a b})$ when $\mathbf{a} \& \mathbf{b}$ are freely independent: Suppose $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\}$ are freely independent. Since

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=0
$$

$\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=($
Computations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{3} \mathbf{a}_{4}\right) & =\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right)+\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{4}\right) \\
& -\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular if $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\mathbf{a}_{3}=\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}=\mathbf{a}_{4}=\mathbf{b}$
$\varphi(\mathbf{a b a b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{2} \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)+\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}^{2}\right) \varphi(\mathbf{b})^{2}-\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{2} \varphi(\mathbf{b})^{2} \neq \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}^{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)$.

## IV. Free Brownian motion

## A noncommutative process

A Free Brownian motion is a family $S=\left\{S_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ of self-adjoint random variables in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ such that:

1. $S_{0}=0$.
2. For $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}$ has law $\mathrm{w}_{t_{2}-t_{1}}$.
3. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t_{n}>\cdots>t_{1}>0$, the increments $S_{t_{n}}-S_{t_{n-1}}, \ldots, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}, S_{t_{1}}$ are freely independent with respect to $\varphi$.

- For every $t \geq 0, S_{t}$ has semicircle law $\mathrm{w}_{t}$ of zero mean and variance one.


## Part V: From Fractional Wishart process to Noncommutative Wishart process

## V. Fractional Wishart process

- $m, n \geq 1, m \times n$ matrix process

$$
\left\{B_{m, n}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}=\left\{\left(b_{m, n}^{j, k}(t)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}\right\}_{t \geq 0}
$$

$\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(b_{m, n}^{j, k}(t)\right)\right\}_{t \geq 0} \&\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(b_{m, n}^{j, k}(t)\right)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ independent 1-dimensional fractional Bm of parameter $H \in[1 / 2,1)$.

- Fractional Laguerre, fractional Wishart process: $n \times n$ matrix-valued process

$$
L_{m, n}(t)=B_{m, n}^{*}(t) B_{m, n}(t), t \geq 0
$$

- $0 \leq \lambda_{n}(t) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{1}(t)$ eigenvalues of $L_{m, n}(t) / n$.
- For $H \in[1 / 2,1)$ the noncoliding property holds

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_{1}(t)>\lambda_{2}(t)>\ldots>\lambda_{n}(t)>0 \quad \forall t>0\right)=1
$$

## V. Fractional Wishart process

- $H=1 / 2$ :
- Bru (1989): noncoliding property and stochastic dynamics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \lambda_{i}(t) & =\lambda_{i}(0)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2 \lambda_{i}(t)} W_{i}(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t}\left(m+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{i}(s)+\lambda_{j}(s)}{\lambda_{i}(s)-\lambda_{j}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} s, 1 \leq i \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cabanal-Duvillard \& Guionnet (2001), PA \& Tudor (2009): limiting measure-valued process, when $n / m \rightarrow c>0$, is dilation of free Poisson law.
- $H \in(1 / 2,1)$ : Pardo, Pérez G., PA (2017):
- Noncoliding, stochastic dynamics of eigenvalues.
- Limiting measure valued process is fractional dilation of MP law.


## V. Dilation of MP law

Law of noncommutative fractional Wishart process
The limit, when $n / m \rightarrow c>0$, of $\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}(t)}, t \geq 0$,

- is not the law $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{c t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{m}_{c t}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f_{c t}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & c t \geq 1 \\
(1-c t) \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+f_{c t}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & 0 \leq c t<1,
\end{array}\right. \\
f_{c t}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{4 c t-(x-(1+c t))^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\left[(1-\sqrt{c t})^{2},(1+\sqrt{c t})^{2}\right]}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

## V. Dilation of MP law

Law of noncommutative fractional Wishart process
The limit, when $n / m \rightarrow c>0$, of $\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}(t)}, t \geq 0$,

- is not the law $\left\{\mathrm{m}_{c t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{m}_{c t}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f_{c t}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & c t \geq 1 \\
(1-c t) \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+f_{c t}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & 0 \leq c t<1,
\end{array}\right. \\
f_{c t}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{4 c t-(x-(1+c t))^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\left[(1-\sqrt{c t})^{2},(1+\sqrt{c t})^{2}\right]}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

- rather fractional dilations of $\mathrm{m}_{c}: \mu_{c}^{H}(t)=\mathrm{m}_{c}, \circ h_{t}^{-1}$, for $h_{t}(x)=t^{2 H} x$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{c}^{H}(t)(\mathrm{d} x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{f}_{c}^{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & c \geq 1 \\
(1-c) \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+f_{a, b}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & 0 \leq c<1,
\end{array}\right. \\
\widetilde{f}_{c}^{t}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t^{2 H}} \sqrt{4 c t^{2 H}-\left(x-t^{H}(1+c)\right)^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\left[t^{2 H}(1-\sqrt{c})^{2}, t^{2 H}(1+\sqrt{c})^{2}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

## V. Characterization of the law

Cabanal-Duvillard \& Guionnet (2001): $H=1 / 2$.
Pardo, Pérez G, PA (2017, JFA): $H \in(1 / 2,1)$.
Theorem
The family $\left(\mu_{c}^{H}(t), t \geq 0\right)$ is characterized by the property that its
Cauchy transform $G_{c, H}$ is the unique solution to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial G_{c, H}}{\partial t}(t, z)=2 H t^{2 H-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
G_{c, H}^{2}(t, z)+ \\
\left(1-c+2 z G_{c, 1 / 2}(t, z)\right) \frac{\partial G_{c, H}}{\partial z}(t, z)
\end{array}\right], t>0 \\
G_{c, H}(0, z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_{c, H}(0)(d x)}{x-z}
\end{gathered}
$$

## V . Identification $\mathrm{H}=1 / 2$, general c

## Free Wishart process of Capitanie and Donati-Martin (2005)

- If $S=\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a free (complex) Brownian motion ( $H=1 / 2$ ), $\mathrm{W}_{t}=S_{t}^{*} S_{t}$ is a free Wishart process.
- It is a free diffusion: $c>1,0<x \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$
d \mathrm{~W}_{t}=c 1_{\mathcal{A}} d t+\sqrt{\mathrm{W}_{t}} d S_{t}+d S_{t}^{*} \sqrt{\mathrm{~W}_{t}}, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{0}=x
$$

- $\left(\mathrm{W}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ does not have free increments, but If $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, $\left(\widetilde{S}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ are free as well as $x, \widetilde{x}$, with parameters $c_{1}, c_{2}$ then $\left(\mathrm{W}_{t}+\widetilde{\mathrm{W}}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a free Wishart proces with parameter $c_{1}+c_{2}$ and initial condition $x+\widetilde{x}$.


## - Open problems:

- $H \in(1 / 2,2)$, description of the noncommutative fractional Wishart process?
- How is related to the noncommutative fractional Brownian motion of Nourdin and Taqqu?
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## V. Noncommutative probability spaces

- A noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a linear functional $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=1$. Elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are called noncommutative random variables.
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## V. Noncommutative probability spaces

- A noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a linear functional $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=1$. Elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are called noncommutative random variables.
- $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) d \times d$ matrices with complex entries

$$
\varphi(\cdot)=\operatorname{tr}_{d}(\cdot)=\frac{1}{d} \operatorname{tr}(\cdot) .
$$

- $\mathcal{A}=L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$,

$$
\varphi(\cdot)=\mathbb{E}(\cdot) .
$$

- $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{M}_{d}\left(L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\right)$,

$$
\varphi(\cdot)=\mathbb{E t r}_{d}(\cdot)
$$

- $\mathcal{A}=L(\mathcal{H})$ algebra of linear operators on a Hilbert space, $u \in H,\|u\|=1$

$$
\varphi(\cdot)=\langle\cdot u, u\rangle
$$

- We should think of $\varphi$ as playing the role of the expectation in classical probability theory.
- We talk about the moments of $a$, referring to the values of $\varphi\left(a^{k}\right), \quad k \geq 0$.
- More generally, for a tuple $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$, the values

$$
\varphi\left(a_{i_{1}}^{m_{1}} \ldots a_{i_{k}}^{m_{k}}\right)
$$

for $k \geq 0,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n, m_{1}, \ldots m_{k} \geq 0$, are known as the joint moments of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

- Let $\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots X_{n}\right\rangle$ the algebra of polynomials in $n$ noncommutative indeterminates with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$.
- Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be elements in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$. The (algebraic) distribution of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is the $\mu_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}}: \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots X_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ determined by

$$
\mu_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}}\left(X_{i_{1}}^{m_{1}} \ldots X_{i_{k}}^{m_{k}}\right)=\varphi\left(a_{i_{1}}^{m_{1}} \ldots a_{i_{k}}^{m_{k}}\right)
$$

for each $k \geq 0,1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n, m_{1}, \ldots m_{k} \geq 0$.

- When an algebraic distribution is given by an analytic distribution?


## V. Noncommutative probability spaces

## Generality needed to deal with free probability

Remember classical case: A real random variable $R$ has distribution $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ iff

$$
\mathbb{E} f(R)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad \forall f \in B_{b}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Noncommutative case needs:
(i) Given a p.m. $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with bounded support, there exist a $C^{*}$-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ and a self-adjoint $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$
\varphi(f(\mathbf{a}))=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad \forall f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R})
$$

(ii) Given a p.m. $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$, there exists a $W^{*}$-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ and self-adjoint operator a on a Hilbert space $H$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall f \in B_{b}(\mathbb{R}),  \tag{1}\\
\varphi(f(\mathbf{a}))=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad \forall f \in B_{b}(\mathbb{R}) .
\end{gather*}
$$

If $(1)$ holds, it is said that $\mathbf{a}$ is affiliated with $\mathcal{A}$.

## V. Free Random Variables

Definition
(i) A family of subalgebras $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ in a noncommutative probability space is free (freely independent) if

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n}\right)=0
$$

whenever $\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)=0, \mathbf{a}_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i_{j}}$, and $i_{1} \neq i_{2}, i_{2} \neq i_{3}, \ldots, i_{n-1} \neq i_{n}$.

## Definition

If $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}$ are freely independent, with distributions $\mu_{a_{1}}$ and $\mu_{a_{2}}$, the distribution of $\mathbf{a}_{1}+\mathbf{a}_{2}$ is the free convolution $\mu_{a_{1}} \boxplus \mu_{a_{2}}$.

## V. Free independence allows to compute joint moments

## Example

Computation of $\varphi(\mathbf{a b a b})$ when $\mathbf{a} \& \mathbf{b}$ are freely independent: Suppose $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\}$ are freely independent. Since

$$
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=0
$$

$\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}-\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=$
Computations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{3} \mathbf{a}_{4}\right) & =\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right)+\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{4}\right) \\
& -\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{3}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular if $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\mathbf{a}_{3}=\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}=\mathbf{a}_{4}=\mathbf{b}$
$\varphi(\mathbf{a b a b})=\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{2} \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)+\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}^{2}\right) \varphi(\mathbf{b})^{2}-\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{2} \varphi(\mathbf{b})^{2} \neq \varphi\left(\mathbf{a}^{2}\right) \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)$.

## V. Application: Free Central Limit Theorem

Theorem
Let $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent free random variables with the same distribution with all moments. Assume that $\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)=0$ and $\varphi\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}^{2}\right)=t$. Then the distribution of

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{m}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{a}_{m}\right)
$$

converges, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, to the semicircle distribution

$$
\mathrm{w}_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{4 t-x^{2}}, \quad|x| \leq 2 \sqrt{t}
$$

with moments $m_{2 k+1}=0$ and $m_{2 k}=t^{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k} /(k+1)$.

- Semicircle or Wigner distribution plays the role of classical Gaussian in free probability.


## V. Free Brownian motion

## A noncommutative process

A Free Brownian motion is a family $S=\left\{S_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ of self-adjoint random variables in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ such that:

1. $S_{0}=0$.
2. For $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}$ has law $\mathrm{w}_{t_{2}-t_{1}}$.
3. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t_{n}>\cdots>t_{1}>0$, the increments $S_{t_{n}}-S_{t_{n-1}}, \ldots, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}, S_{t_{1}}$ are freely independent with respect to $\varphi$.

- For every $t \geq 0, S_{t}$ has semicircle law $\mathrm{w}_{t}$ of zero mean and variance one.


## V. Free Brownian motion

## A noncommutative process

A Free Brownian motion is a family $S=\left\{S_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ of self-adjoint random variables in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ such that:

1. $S_{0}=0$.
2. For $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}$ has law $\mathrm{w}_{t_{2}-t_{1}}$.
3. For all $n \geq 1$ and $t_{n}>\cdots>t_{1}>0$, the increments $S_{t_{n}}-S_{t_{n-1}}, \ldots, S_{t_{2}}-S_{t_{1}}, S_{t_{1}}$ are freely independent with respect to $\varphi$.

- For every $t \geq 0, S_{t}$ has semicircle law $\mathrm{w}_{t}$ of zero mean and variance one.
- One has Stochastic calculus for the free Brownian motion (Anshelevich, 2002, Biane, 1997, Biane \& Speicher, 1998).


## V. Semicircular process

- Free Brownian motion is an example of a Semicircular process $X=\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{A}$, self-adjoint random variables: For every $k \geq 1, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in[0, \infty)$ and $\theta_{1}, . ., \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, the noncommutative random variable $\theta_{1} X_{t_{1}}+\cdots+\theta_{k} X_{t_{k}}$ has Semicircle law

$$
\mathrm{w}_{m, \sigma^{2}}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} \sqrt{4 \sigma^{2}-(x-m)^{2}} 1_{[m-2 \sigma, m+2 \sigma]}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^{2}>0$.
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$$
\Gamma(s, t)=\varphi\left(X_{t} X_{s}\right)
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- The law of a centered semicircular processes $\left(\varphi\left(X_{t}\right)=0\right.$ for every $t>0$ ) is uniquely determined by its covariance function

$$
\Gamma(s, t)=\varphi\left(X_{t} X_{s}\right)
$$

- Centered semicircular $X=\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ has stationary increments

$$
\Gamma(s, t)=\Gamma(|t-s|)=\varphi\left(X_{|t-s|}\right)
$$

## V. Noncommutative Fractional Brownian Motion

## Nourdin and Taqqu (2104)

- Let $H \in(0,1)$. A noncommutative fractional Brownian motion (ncfBm) of Hurst parameter $H$ is a centered semicircular process $S^{H}=\left\{S_{t}^{H}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with covariance function

$$
\varphi\left(S_{t}^{H} S_{s}^{H}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2 H}+s^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right)
$$
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- Let $H \in(0,1)$. A noncommutative fractional Brownian motion (ncfBm) of Hurst parameter $H$ is a centered semicircular process $S^{H}=\left\{S_{t}^{H}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in a noncommutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with covariance function

$$
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- ncfBm has stationary increments: For every $t, s>0$

$$
\varphi\left(\left(S_{t}^{H}-S_{s}^{H}\right)^{2}\right)=|t-s|^{2 H} .
$$

## V. Noncommutative Fractional Brownian Motion

## As in the classical probability case
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## As in the classical probability case

- $S_{t}^{H}-S_{s}^{H}$ has the same law as $S_{t-s}^{H}$.
- $H=1 / 2$ is free Brownian motion $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ (the only ncfBm with freely independent increments).
- For $H>1 / 2$ the process has long-range dependence

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(S_{1}^{H}\left(S_{n+1}^{H}-S_{n}^{H}\right)\right)=\infty
$$

- and the increments are positively correlated: For $s_{1}<t_{1}<s_{2}<t_{2}$

$$
\varphi\left(\left(S_{t_{2}}^{H}-S_{s_{2}}^{H}\right)\left(S_{t_{1}}^{H}-S_{s_{1}}^{H}\right)\right)>0 .
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- Similar to the Wiener integral representation of 1-dimensional fractional Brownian motion $b^{H}=\left(b^{H}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with respect to 1-dimensional Brownian motion $b=(b(t))_{t \geq 0}$

$$
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## Part VI: From matrix fractional Bm to noncommutative fractional Bm

(Time-varying random matrix models for the noncommutative fractional Bm )

## VI. One-dimensional fractional Brownian motion

A one-dimensional fractional Brownian motion $b^{H}=\left\{b^{H}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a zero-mean classical Gaussian process with covariance

$$
\left.\mathbb{E} b^{H}(t) b^{H}(s)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2 H}+s^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right) .
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- Self-similarity: $\left(a^{-H} b^{H}(a t)\right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\text { law }}{=}\left(b^{H}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$.
- $H=1 / 2$ is 1 -dimensional Bm (independent increments).
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- Itô stochastic calculus cannot be used for $H \neq 1 / 2$.
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with $0<H<1, K>0$ and $L:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ a slowly varying function at infinity $\left(\forall a>0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} L(a x) / L(x)=1\right)$.

- Take the sequence of noncommutative stochastic processes

$$
Z_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{n^{H} \sqrt{L(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^{[n t]} X_{k}, t \geq 0, n \geq 1
$$

- Then the finite dimensional distributions (f.d.d.) of $Z_{n}$ converge in law to those of $\sqrt{K} S^{H}$ where $S^{H}$ is a noncommutative fractional Brownian motion.


## VI. Matrix fractional Brownian motion

Consider $n(n+1) / 2$ independent 1-dimensional fractional Brownian motions with $H \in(1 / 2,1)$.

$$
\left\{\left\{b_{i, j}^{H}(t), t \geq 0\right\}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\} .
$$

- $n \times n$ symmetric matrix fractional Brownian motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_{n}^{H}(t) & =\left(B_{i j}^{H}(t)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} \\
B_{i j}^{H}(t) & =b_{i, j}^{H} \text { if } i<j \\
B_{i i}^{H}(t) & =\sqrt{2} b_{i, i}^{H}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{p}$, the increments $\left(\mathbf{B}_{n}^{H}\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$, $k=1, \ldots, p$ are not independent nor asymptotically free.
- Let $\lambda_{1}(t) \geq \lambda_{2}(t) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}(t)$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{H}(t)$.
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\end{gather*}
$$

- Stochastic integral in $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ is in the sense of Skorohod. Classical Itô stochastic calculus cannot be used for $H \neq 1 / 2$.
- Proof of $\left(^{*}\right)$ uses the Young stochastic integral.
- $Y_{i}(t)$ is not a fractional Brownian motion, but it is a self-similar process: $\forall a>0,\left(a^{-H} Y_{i}(a t)\right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{\text { law }}{=}\left(Y_{i}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$.


## VI. Time-varying Wigner theorem

## Pardo, Pérez G, PA (2016)

Consider the empirical spectral measure-valued processes of the re-scaled matrix fractional $\mathrm{Bm} \mathbf{B}_{n}^{H}(t) / \sqrt{n}$

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\lambda_{j}(t) / \sqrt{n}\right\}}, t \geq 0, n \geq 1 .
$$

1. Fix $T>0$. For all continuous bounded function $f$ and $\varepsilon>0$
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\int f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{t}^{(n)}(x)-\int f(x) \mathrm{w}_{t}^{H}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0$ where $\mathrm{w}_{t}^{H}$ is the semicircle distribution on $\left(-2 t^{H}, 2 t^{H}\right)$.
2. The family of measure-valued processes $\left\{\left(\mu_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \geq 0}: n \geq 1\right\}$ converges to $\left(\mathrm{w}_{t}^{H}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, the law of a noncommutative fractional Bm of Hurst parameter $H \in(1 / 2,1)$.

## VI. Precise statement

## Pardo, Pérez G, PA (2016)

1. The family of measure-valued empirical spectral processes $\left\{\left(\mu_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \geq 0}: n \geq 1\right\}$ converges weakly in $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to the unique continuous probability-measure valued function $\left(\mu_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying, for each $t \geq 0, f \in C_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\left\langle\mu_{t}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu_{0}, f\right\rangle+H \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)}{x-y} s^{2 H-1} \mu_{s}(d x) \mu_{s}(d y)
$$

Moreover $\mu_{t}=\mathrm{w}_{t}^{H}$.
2. The Cauchy transform $G_{t}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_{t}(d x)}{z-x}$ of $\mu_{t}$ is the unique solution to the initial value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{t}(z)=H t^{2 H-1} G_{t}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} G_{t}(z), & t>0 \\ G_{0}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_{0}(d x)}{z-x}, & z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}\end{cases}
$$

