A Malliavin-Skorohod calculus in L<sup>0</sup> and L<sup>1</sup> for pure jump additive and Volterra-type processes

> Josep Vives (josep.vives@ub.edu) (Joint work with Giulia Di Nunno (UiO))

> > Universitat de Barcelona

#### Conference on Ambit fields and related topics Aarhus, August 15-18, 2016

#### REFERENCES

- G. Di Nunno and J. V. (2016): A Malliavin Skorohod calculus in L<sup>0</sup> and L<sup>1</sup> for additive and Volterra type processes. Stochastics. [DV]
- O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, F. E. Benth, J. Pedersen and A. E. D. Veraart (2014): *On stochastic integration for volatility modulated Lévy driven Volterra processes*. SPA 124: 812-847. [BBPV]
- J. L. Solé, F. Utzet and J. V. (2007): *Canonical Lévy processes* and *Malliavin calculus*. SPA 117: 165-187. [SUV]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

#### ABSTRACT

- In this paper we extend the Malliavin-Skorohod type calculus for pure jump additive processes to the L<sup>0</sup> and L<sup>1</sup> settings.
- We apply it to extend stochastic integration with respect to volatility modulated pure jump additive-driven Volterra processes.
- In particular, we define integrals with respect to Volterra processes driven by α-stable processes with α < 2.</li>

. . . . . . .

## MOTIVATION I

 Consider a pure jump volatility modulated additive driven Volterra (VMAV) process X defined as

$$X(t) = \int_0^t g(t,s)\sigma(s)dJ(s)$$

provided the integral is well defined. Here *J* is a pure jump additive process, *g* is a deterministic function and  $\sigma$  is a predictable process with respect the natural completed filtration of *J*.

• This kind of models, called volatility modulated Volterra processes, are part of the family of Ambit processes and are used in modeling turbulence, energy finance and others.

• A major problem is to develop an integration theory with respect *X* as integrator, that is, to give a meaning to

$$\int_0^t Y(s) dX(s)$$

for a fixed t and a suitable stochastic processes Y. Recall that X is not necessarily a semimartingale.

 This has been done in [BBPV], assuming J is a square integrable pure jump Lévy process and assuming Malliavin regularity conditions on Y in the L<sup>2</sup> setting.

- 4 B b 4 B b

## MOTIVATION III

- Here we extend this integration theory to any pure jump additive process, not necessary square integrable, and in particular allowing to treat integration, for example, with respect to  $\alpha$ -stable processes when  $\alpha < 2$ .
- Integrability conditions related with Y are in the  $L^1$  setting. So, our results are an extension on the previous ones in the finite activity case and treat new cases in the infinite activity case.

### INTRODUCTION I

- The Malliavin-Skorohod calculus for square integrable functionals of an additive process is today a well established topic. See for example Yablonski (2008).
- In [SUV] a new canonical space for Lévy processes is introduced and a probabilistic interpretation of Malliavin-Skorohod operators in this space is obtained.
- These operators defined in the canonical space are well defined beyond the *L*<sup>2</sup> setting.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

## INTRODUCTION II

- This allows to explore the development of a Malliavin-Skorohod calculus for functionals adapted to a general additive processes that belong only to L<sup>1</sup> or L<sup>0</sup>.
- This is the main goal of our work, that can be seen as an extension of [SUV] using also ideas from Picard (1996).
- In particular we prove several rules of calculus and a new version of the Clark-Hausmmann-Ocone (CHO) formula in the L<sup>1</sup> setting.

★ 3 → < 3</p>

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION I

- Let X = {Xt, t ≥ 0} be an additive process, that is, a process with independent increments, stocastically continuous, null at the origin and with càdlàg trajectories.
- Let  $\mathbb{R}_0 := \mathbb{R} \{0\}$ .
- For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , denote  $S_{\epsilon} := \{ |x| > \epsilon \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0$ .
- Let us denote B and B<sub>0</sub> the σ−algebras of Borel sets of ℝ and ℝ<sub>0</sub> respectively.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

The distribution of an additive process can be characterized by the triplet  $(\Gamma_t, \sigma_t^2, \nu_t), t \ge 0$ , where

- { $\Gamma_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ } is a continuous function null at the origin.
- {σ<sub>t</sub><sup>2</sup>, t ≥ 0} is a continuous and non-decreasing function null at the origin.
- { $\nu_t, t \ge 0$ } is a set of Lévy measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, for any set  $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$  such that  $B \subseteq S_{\epsilon}$  for a certain  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\nu_{\cdot}(B)$  is a continuous and increasing function null at the origin.

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION III

- Let  $\Theta := [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $\theta := (t, x) \in \Theta$  and  $d\theta = (dt, dx)$ .
- For  $T \ge 0$ , we introduce the measurable spaces  $(\Theta_{T,\epsilon}, \mathcal{B}(\Theta_{T,\epsilon}))$ where  $\Theta_{T,\epsilon} := [0, T] \times S_{\epsilon}$ .
- Observe that  $\Theta_{\infty,0} = [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}_0$  and that  $\Theta$  can be represented as  $\Theta = \Theta_{\infty,0} \cup ([0,\infty) \times \{0\}).$

Image: A Image: A

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION IV

- We introduce a measure ν on Θ<sub>∞,0</sub> such that for any B ∈ B<sub>0</sub> we have ν([0, t] × B) := ν<sub>t</sub>(B). The hypotheses on ν<sub>t</sub> guarantee that ν({t} × B) = 0 for any t ≥ 0 and for any B ∈ B<sub>0</sub>. Note that in particular, ν is σ-finite.
- Let *N* be the jump measure associated to *X*. Recall that it is a Poisson random measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\Theta_{\infty,0})$  with parameter  $\nu$ . Denote  $\widetilde{N}(dt, dx) := N(dt, dx) \nu(dt, dx)$ .
- We can introduce also a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\sigma$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\sigma([0, t]) = \sigma_t^2$ .

#### PRELIMINARIES AD NOTATION V

According to the Lévy-Itô decomposition we can write:

$$X_t = \Gamma_t + W_t + J_t, \quad t \ge 0$$

where

- $\Gamma$  is a continuous deterministic function null at the origin.
- W is a centered Gaussian process with variance process  $\sigma^2$ .

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION VI

J is an additive process with triplet (0, 0, ν<sub>t</sub>) independent of W, defined by

$$J_t = \int_{\Theta_{t,1}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx) + \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\Theta_{t,\epsilon} - \Theta_{t,1}} x \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(ds, dx)$$

where the convergence is *a.s.* and uniform with respect to *t* on every bounded interval. We call the process  $J = \{J_t, t \ge 0\}$  a pure jump additive process.

• Moreover, if  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W, t \ge 0\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^J, t \ge 0\}$  are, respectively, the completed natural filtrations of W and J, then, for every  $t \ge 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \mathcal{F}_t^W \lor \mathcal{F}_t^J$ .

(\* ) \* (\* ) \* )

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION VII

• We consider on  $\Theta$  the  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure

$$\mu(dt, dx) := \sigma(dt)\delta_0(dx) + \nu(dt, dx).$$

Note that  $\mu$  is continuous in the sense that  $\mu(\{t\} \times B) = 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Then we define

$$M(dt, dx) = (W \otimes \delta_0)(dt, dx) + \tilde{N}(dt, dx)$$

that is a centered random measure with independent values such that  $\mathbb{E}[M(E_1)M(E_2)] = \mu(E_1 \cap E_2)$ , for  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$  with  $\mu(E_1) < \infty$  and  $\mu(E_2) < \infty$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION VIII

- If we take  $\sigma^2 \equiv 0$ ,  $\mu = \nu$  and  $M = \tilde{N}$ , we recover the Poisson random measure case.
- If we take ν = 0, we have μ(dt, dx) := σ(dt)δ<sub>0</sub>(dx) and M(ds, dx) = (W ⊗ δ<sub>0</sub>)(ds, dx) and we recover the independent increment centered Gaussian measure case.
- If we take  $\sigma_t^2 := \sigma_L^2 t$  and  $\nu(dt, dx) = dt\nu_L(dx)$ , we obtain  $M(ds, dx) = \sigma_L(W \otimes \delta_0)(ds, dx) + \tilde{N}(ds, dx)$  and we recover the Lévy case (stationary increments case).

# MALLIAVIN-SKOROHOD CALCULUS FOR ADDITIVE PROCESSES IN $L^2$ .

We recall the presentation of the Malliavin-Skorohod calculus with respect to the random measure M on its canonical space in the  $L^2$ -framework, as a first step towards our final goal of extending the calculus to the  $L^1$  and  $L^0$  frameworks.

#### THE CHAOS REPRESENTATION PROPERTY

• Given  $\mu$ , we can consider the spaces

$$\mathbb{L}_n^2 := L^2 \Big( \Theta^n, \mathcal{B}(\Theta)^{\otimes n}, \mu^{\otimes n} \Big)$$

and define for functions *f* in  $\mathbb{L}^2_n$  the Itô multiple stochastic integrals  $I_n(f)$  with respect to *M* in the usual way.

• Then we have the so-called chaos representation property, that is, for any functional  $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^X, \mathbb{P})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}^X = \bigvee_{t \ge 0} \mathcal{F}_t^X$ , we have

$$F=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}I_n(f_n)$$

for a certain unique family of symmetric kernels  $f_n \in \mathbb{L}^2_n$ .

4 B K 4 B K

#### THE MALLIAVIN AND SKOROHOD OPERATORS I

The chaos representation property of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^X, \mathbb{P})$  shows that this space has a Fock space structure. Thus it is possible to apply all the machinery related to the annihilation operator (Malliavin derivative) and the creation operator (Skorohod integral).

Consider  $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n)$ , with  $f_n$  symmetric and such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \|f_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_n}^2 < \infty$ . The Malliavin derivative of F is an object of  $L^2(\Theta \times \Omega, \mu \otimes \mathbb{P})$ , defined as

$$D_{\theta}F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1}(f_n(\theta, \cdot)), \ \theta \in \Theta.$$

We denote by Dom*D* the domain of this operator.

#### THE MALLIAVIN AND SKOROHOD OPERATORS II

Let  $u \in L^2(\Theta \times \Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Theta) \otimes \mathcal{F}^X, \mu \otimes \mathbb{P})$ . For every  $\theta \in \Theta$  we have the chaos decomposition

$$u_{\theta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n(\theta, \cdot))$$

where  $f_n \in \mathbb{L}^2_{n+1}$  is symmetric in the last *n* variables. Let  $\tilde{f}_n$  be the symmetrization in all n + 1 variables. Then we define the Skorohod integral of *u* by

$$\delta(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{n+1}(\tilde{f}_n),$$

in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , provided  $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ , that means  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)! \|\tilde{f}_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{n+1}}^2 < \infty$ .

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

## DUALITY BETWEEN THE MALLIAVIN AND SKOROHOD OPERATORS

• If  $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$  and  $F \in \text{Dom } D$  we have the duality relation

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta(u) F] = \mathbb{E} \int_{\Theta} u_{\theta} D_{\theta} F \mu(d\theta).$$

• We recall that if  $u \in Dom\delta$  is actually predictable with respect to the filtration generated by *X*, then the Skorohod integral coincides with the (non anticipating) Itô integral in the  $L^2$ -setting with respect to *M*.

#### THE CLARK-HAUSSMANN-OCONE FORMULA I

Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_A := \sigma\{M(A') : A' \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta), A' \subseteq A\}.$ 

- *F* is  $\mathcal{F}_A$ -measurable if for any  $n \ge 1$ ,  $f_n(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = 0$ ,  $\mu^{\otimes n} a.e.$ unless  $\theta_i \in A \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots n$ .
- In particular, we are interested in the case  $A = \Theta_{t-} := [0, t) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{F}_{t-} := \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_{t-}}$ . Obviously, if  $F \in \text{Dom } D$  and it is  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$ -measurable then  $D_{s,x}F = 0$  for a.e.  $s \ge t$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### THE CLARK-HAUSSMANN-OCONE FORMULA II

From the chaos representation property we can see that for  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$E[F|\mathcal{F}_{t-}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{1}_{[0,t)}(t_i))$$

Then, for  $F \in DomD$  we have

$$D_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}[\boldsymbol{F}|\mathcal{F}_{t-}] = \boldsymbol{E}[D_{\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{F}|\mathcal{F}_{t-}]\mathbf{1}_{[0,t)}(\boldsymbol{s}), \, (\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{x}) \in \Theta.$$

4 3 5 4 3

#### THE CLARK-HAUSSMANN-OCONE FORMULA III

Using these facts we can prove the very well known CHO formula: If  $F \in DomD$  we have

$$F = \mathbb{E}(F) + \delta(E[D_{t,x}F|\mathcal{F}_{t-}]).$$

- Note that being the integrand a predictable process, the Skorohod integral δ here above is actually an Itô integral.
- Note also that the CHO formula can be rewritten in a decompactified form as

$$F = \mathbb{E}(F) + \int_0^\infty E(D_{s,0}F|\mathcal{F}_{s-})dW_s + \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} E(D_{s,x}F|\mathcal{F}_{s-})\tilde{N}(ds, dx).$$

## A CANONICAL SPACE FOR J I

- We set our work on the canonical space of *J*, substantially introduced in [SUV].
- The construction is done first of all in the case ν is concentrated on Θ<sub>T,ε</sub> for a fixed T > 0 and ε > 0, that is a finite activity case. Later the construction is extended to the case Θ<sub>∞,0</sub> taking T ↑ ∞ and ε ↓ 0.
- In the case  $\nu$  concentrated on  $\Theta_{T,\epsilon}$ , and so finite, any trajectory of J can be totally described by a finite sequence  $((t_1, x_1), \ldots, (t_n, x_n))$  where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in [0, T]$  are the jump instants, with  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$ , and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_{\epsilon}$  are the corresponding sizes, for some n.

(日)

## A CANONICAL SPACE FOR J II

- The extension to the space Θ<sub>∞,0</sub> is done through a projective system of probability spaces.
- For every *m* ≥ 1 we consider the probability spaces

$$(\Omega^J_m, \mathcal{F}_m, \mathbb{P}_m) := (\Omega^J_{m, \frac{1}{m}}, \mathcal{F}_{m, \frac{1}{m}}, \mathbb{P}_{m, \frac{1}{m}}),$$

that are the canonical spaces corresponding to  $\Theta_m := [0, m] \times S_{\perp}$ .

Then the canonical space Ω<sup>J</sup> for J on Θ<sub>∞,0</sub> is defined as the projective limit of the system (Ω<sup>J</sup><sub>m</sub>, m ≥ 1).

化原因 化原因

### A CANONICAL SPACE FOR J III

In our setup,  $\Omega^J = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{\infty,0}^n$  and the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is concentrated on the subset of

- The empty sequence  $\alpha$ , corresponding to the element  $(\alpha, \alpha, ...)$ .
- All finite sequences of pairs  $(t_i, x_i)$ .
- All infinite sequences of pairs (t<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>i</sub>) such that for every m > 0 there is only a finite number of (t<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>i</sub>) on Θ<sub>m</sub>.

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

## MALLIAVIN-SKOROHOD CALCULUS FOR PURE JUMP ADDITIVE PROCESSES

- Now we establish the basis for a Malliavin-Skorohod calculus with respect to a pure jump additive process, constructively on the canonical space.
- In general, the proofs of the following results are done directly on  $\Omega_m^J$  and extended to  $\Omega^J$  by dominated convergence.

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

#### TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE CANONICAL SPACE

- Let  $\theta = (s, x) \in \Theta_{\infty,0}$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega^J$ , that is,  $\omega := (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \dots)$ , with  $\theta_i := (s_i, x_i)$ .
- We introduce the following two transformations from  $\Theta_{\infty,0}\times\Omega^J$  to  $\Omega^J$  :

$$\epsilon_{\theta}^+\omega := ((\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}), (\boldsymbol{s}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1), (\boldsymbol{s}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_2), \dots),$$

where a jump of size x is added at time s, and

$$\epsilon_{ heta}^{-}\omega := ig((s_1,x_1),(s_2,x_2),\dotsig) - \{(s,x)\},$$

where we take away the point  $\theta = (s, x)$  from  $\omega$ .

#### **PROPERTIES OF THE TRANSFORMATIONS**

- These two transformations are analogous to the ones introduced in Picard (1996).
- Observe that  $\epsilon^+$  is well defined except on the set  $\{(\theta, \omega) : \theta \in \omega\}$  that has null measure with respect  $\nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$ . We can consider by convention that on this set,  $\epsilon^+_{\theta} \omega := \omega$ .
- The case of ε<sub>θ</sub><sup>-</sup> is also clear. In fact this operator satisfies ε<sub>θ</sub><sup>-</sup>ω = ω except on the set {(θ, ω) : θ ∈ ω}.
- For simplicity of the notation sometimes we will denote μ̂<sub>i</sub> := ϵ<sup>-</sup><sub>θ<sub>i</sub></sub>ω.

30/74

Aarhus, August 2016

#### THE OPERATOR T I

• For a random variable  $F \in L^0(\Omega^J)$ , we define the operator

$$T: L^0(\Omega^J) \mapsto L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J),$$

such that  $(T_{\theta}F)(\omega) := F(\epsilon_{\theta}^{+}\omega).$ 

• It is not difficult to see that if F is a  $\mathcal{F}^J$ -measurable, then

$$(T_{\cdot}F)(\cdot)\colon \Theta_{\infty,0}\times \Omega^{J}\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is  $\mathcal{B}(\Theta_{\infty,0}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^J$  – measurable and F = 0,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. implies  $T.F(\cdot) = 0$ ,  $\nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.e. So, T is a closed linear operator defined on the entire  $\mathcal{L}^0(\Omega^J)$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

But if we want to assure  $T.F(\cdot) \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  we have to restrict the domain and guarantee that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}}|T_{ heta}F|
u(d heta)<\infty.$$

This requires a condition that is strictly stronger than  $F \in L^1(\Omega^J)$ .

→ 3 → 4 3

#### THE OPERATOR T III

Concretely, denoting  $k_m := e^{-\nu(\Theta_m - \Theta_{m-1})}$ , we have to assume that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} \int_{(\Theta_m - \Theta_{m-1})^n} |F(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)| \nu(d\theta_1) \ldots \nu(d\theta_n) < \infty,$$

whereas  $F \in L^1(\Omega)$  is equivalent only to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\Theta_m - \Theta_{m-1})^n} |F(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)| \nu(d\theta_1) \ldots \nu(d\theta_n) < \infty.$$

・ ロ ト ・ ( ) ト ・

33/74

Josep Vives (UB)

#### THE OPERATOR S I

For a random field  $u \in L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  we define the operator

$$\mathcal{S}: \textit{DomS} \subseteq L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} imes \Omega^J) \longrightarrow L^0(\Omega^J)$$

such that

$$(Su)(\omega) := \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\epsilon_{\theta}^{-}\omega) \mathcal{N}(d\theta,\omega) := \sum_{i} u_{\theta_{i}}(\hat{\omega}_{i}) < \infty.$$

Image: A mage: A ma

(4) E > (4) E >

Aarhus, August 2016

э

34/74

In particular, if  $\omega = \alpha$ , we define  $(Su)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Josep Vives (UB)

The operator *S* is well defined and closed from  $L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  to  $L^1(\Omega)$  as the following proposition says:

#### PROPOSITION

If  $u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$ , Su is well defined and takes values in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\epsilon_{\theta}^{-}\omega) \mathcal{N}(d\theta,\omega) = \mathbb{E}\int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\omega)\nu(d\theta).$$

★ 3 → < 3</p>

Aarhus, August 2016

35 / 74

Josep Vives (UB)

Given  $\theta = (s, x)$  we can define for any  $\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}_s$  as the  $\omega$  restricted to jump instants strictly before *s*. In this case, obviously,  $\epsilon_{\theta}^- \tilde{\omega}_s = \tilde{\omega}_s$ . If *u* is predictable we have  $u_{\theta}(\omega) = u_{\theta}(\tilde{\omega}_s)$  and so

$${\it U}_{ heta}(\epsilon_{ heta}^{-}\omega)={\it U}_{ heta}(\omega),$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S} u)(\omega) = \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\epsilon_{\theta}^{-}\omega) \mathcal{N}(d\theta,\omega) = \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\omega) \mathcal{N}(d\theta,\omega).$$

(\* ) \* (\* ) \* )

The following theorem is the fundamental relationship between operators S and T:

#### THEOREM

Consider  $F \in L^0(\Omega^J)$  and  $u \in DomS$ . Then  $F \cdot Su \in L^1(\Omega^J)$  if and only if  $TF \cdot u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  and in this case

$$\mathbb{E}(F \cdot Su) = \mathbb{E} \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} T_{\theta}F \cdot u_{\theta} \nu(d\theta).$$

Aarhus, August 2016

37 / 74

• If *u* and *TF* · *u* belong to *DomS* we have

$$F \cdot Su = S(TF \cdot u), \mathbb{P} - a.e.$$

• If *u* and *Tu* are in *DomS* then

$$T_{ heta}(Su) = u_{ heta} + S(T_{ heta}u), \ \nu \otimes \mathbb{P} - a.e.$$

Josep Vives (UB)

→ 3 → 4 3

< A >

Now we introduce the operator  $\Psi_{t,x} := T_{t,x} - Id$ . Observe that this operator is linear, closed and satisfies the property

$$\Psi_{t,x}(FG) = G\Psi_{t,x}F + F\Psi_{t,x}G + \Psi_{t,x}(F)\Psi_{t,x}(G).$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### The operator ${\cal E}$

On other hand, for  $u \in L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  we consider the operator:

$$\mathcal{E}: \textit{Dom}\mathcal{E} \subseteq L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} imes \Omega^J) \longrightarrow L^0(\Omega^J)$$

such that

$$(\mathcal{E} u)(\omega) := \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\omega) \nu(d\theta).$$

Note that  $Dom\mathcal{E}$  is the subset of processes in  $L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  such that  $u(\cdot, \omega) \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. We have also that

$$\int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\epsilon_{\theta}^{-}\omega)\nu(d\theta) = \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\omega)\nu(d\theta), \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

40 / 74

Aarhus, August 2016

#### The operator $\Phi$

Then, for  $u \in Dom\Phi := DomS \cap Dom\mathcal{E} \subseteq L^0(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$ , we define

$$\Phi u := Su - \mathcal{E}u.$$

Note that

• 
$$L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J) \subseteq Dom\Phi.$$

•  $E(\Phi u) = 0$ , for any  $u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega)$ .

• For any  $u \in Dom\Phi$ , predictable,

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} u_{\theta}(\omega) \tilde{N}(d\theta, \omega).$$

•  $u \in L^2(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  not implies  $u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  nor  $u \in Dom\Phi$ .

-

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ヨッ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

As a corollary of the duality between T and S we have the following result:

#### PROPOSITION

Consider  $F \in L^0(\Omega^J)$  and  $u \in Dom\Phi$ . Assume also  $F \cdot u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$ . Then  $F \cdot \Phi u \in L^1(\Omega^J)$  if and only if  $\Psi F \cdot u \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$  and in this case

$$\mathbb{E}(F \cdot \Phi u) = \mathbb{E}(\int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} \Psi_{\theta} F \cdot u_{\theta} \nu(d\theta)).$$

∃ → < ∃</p>

42 / 74

Aarhus, August 2016

• If  $F \in L^0(\Omega^J)$  and  $u, F \cdot u$  and  $\Psi F \cdot u$  belong to  $Dom\Phi$  we have

$$\Phi(F \cdot u) = F \cdot \Phi u - \Phi(\Psi F \cdot u) - \mathcal{E}(\Psi F \cdot u), \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

• If u and  $\Psi u$  belong to  $Dom\Phi$  we have

 $\Psi_{\theta}(\Phi u) = u_{\theta} + \Phi(\Psi_{\theta} u), \ \nu \otimes \mathbb{P} - a.e.$ 

★ 3 → < 3</p>

Aarhus, August 2016

43/74

## RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE INTRINSIC OPERATORS AND THE MALLIAVIN-SKOROHOD OPERATORS.

Consider now the operators D and  $\delta$  restricted to the pure jump case, that is associated to the measure  $\tilde{N}(ds, dx)$ . We write  $D^{J}$  and  $\delta^{J}$ . We have the following result:

#### Lemma

For any *n*, consider the set  $\Theta_{T,\epsilon}^{n,*} = \{(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \Theta_{T,\epsilon}^n : \theta_i \neq \theta_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$ . Then, for any  $g_k \in L^2(\Theta_{\infty,0}^{k,*})$  for  $k \ge 1$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^J$  we have, a.s.,

$$I_k(g_k)(\omega) = \int_{\Theta_{T,\epsilon}^{k,*}} g_k( heta_1 \dots, heta_k) ilde{N}(\omega, d heta_1) \cdots ilde{N}(\omega, d heta_k).$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Aarhus, August 2016

44 / 74

The proof is based on the fact that both expressions coincide for simple functions and define bounded linear operators.

# Relationship between $D^J$ , $\delta^J$ , $\Psi$ and $\Phi$

For a fixed k ≥ 0, consider F = I<sub>k</sub>(g<sub>k</sub>) with g<sub>k</sub> a symmetric function of L<sup>2</sup>(Θ<sup>k,\*</sup><sub>∞,0</sub>). Then, F belongs to DomD<sup>J</sup> ∩ DomΨ and

$$D^J I_k(g_k) = \Psi I_k(g_k), \ \nu \otimes \mathbb{P} - ext{a.e.}$$

 For fixed k ≥ 1, consider u<sub>θ</sub> = I<sub>k</sub>(g<sub>k</sub>(·, θ)) where g<sub>k</sub>(·, ·) ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(Θ<sup>k+1,\*</sup><sub>∞,0</sub>) is symmetric with respect to the first k variables. Assume also u ∈ DomΦ. Then,

$$\Phi(u) = \delta^J(u), \ \mathbb{P} - \text{a.e.}.$$

A B > A B >

#### **R**ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE OPERATORS

• Let  $F \in L^2(\Omega^J)$ . Then,  $F \in DomD^J \iff \Psi F \in L^2(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega_J)$ , and in this case

$$D^{J}F = \Psi F, \ \nu \otimes P - a.e.$$

• Let  $u \in L^2(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega_J) \cap Dom\Phi$ . Then  $u \in Dom\delta^J \iff \Phi u \in L^2(\Omega^J)$ , and in this case

$$\delta^J u = \Phi u, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

As an application of the previous results in the pure jump case we hereafter prove a CHO-type formula as an integral representation of random variables in  $L^1(\Omega^J)$ .

#### THEOREM

Let  $F \in L^1(\Omega^J)$  and assume  $\Psi F \in L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega^J)$ . Then

 $F = \mathbb{E}(F) + \Phi(E(\Psi_{t,x}F|\mathcal{F}_{t-})), a.s.$ 

4 B K 4 B K

Aarhus, August 2016

47 / 74



Observe that under the conditions of the previous theorem we have

$$\Psi_{s,x} E[F|\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_{t-}}] = E[\Psi_{s,x}F|\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_{t-}}] 1\!\!1_{[0,t)}, \ \nu \otimes \mathbb{P} - a.e.$$

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Aarhus, August 2016

48/74

### EXAMPLE 1 I

Consider a pure jump additive process L. On one hand, for any t, we have the Lévy-Itô decomposition:

$$L_t = \Gamma_t + \int_0^t \int_{\{|x|>1\}} x \mathcal{N}(ds, dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\{|x|\leq 1\}} x \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(ds, dx).$$

Consider  $L_T$ . Assume  $\mathbb{E}(|L_T|) < \infty$ . Recall that this is equivalently to

$$\int_0^t\int_{|x|>1}|x|\nu(ds,dx)<\infty.$$

Then we can write

$$L_t = \Gamma_t + \int_0^t \int_{\{|x|>1\}} x\nu(ds, dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \tilde{N}(ds, dx).$$

A B + A B +

#### EXAMPLE 1 II

On the other hand, applying the CHO formula, we have  $\Psi_{s,x}L_T = x \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(s)$  and  $E(\Psi_{s,x}L_T | \mathcal{F}_{s-}) = x \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]}(s)$ . So, the hypothesis  $\mathbb{E}(|L_T|) < \infty$  is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\Psi_{s,x}L_T|\nu(ds,dx)<\infty$$

and

$$L_T = \mathbb{E}(L_T) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \tilde{N}(ds, dx).$$

Observe that this is coherent with the previous decomposition because

$$\mathbb{E}(L_T) = \Gamma_T + \int_0^T \int_{\{|x|>1\}} x\nu(ds, dx).$$

#### EXAMPLE 2 I

Let  $X := \{X_t, t \in [0, T]\}$  be a pure jump Lévy process with triplet  $(\gamma_L t, 0, \nu_L t)$ . Let  $S_t := e^{X_t}$  be an asset price process. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a risk-neutral measure. In order  $e^{-rt}e^{X_t}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale we need to assume some restrictions on  $\nu_L$  and  $\gamma_L$ :

$$\int_{|x|\geq 1} e^x \nu_L(dx) < \infty$$

and

$$\gamma_L = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^y - 1 - y \operatorname{1}_{\{|y| < 1\}}) \nu(dy).$$

- E • • E •

### EXAMPLE 2 II

These conditions allow us to write without loosing generality,

$$X_t = x + (r - c_2)t + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \tilde{N}(ds, dy),$$

where

$$c_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^y - 1 - y) \nu_L(dy)$$

and *N* is a Poisson random measure under  $\mathbb{Q}$ . According to the CHO formula if  $F = S_T \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\Psi_{s,x}S_T|\mathcal{F}_{s-}] \in L^1(\Omega \times [0, T])$  we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}) + \int_{\Theta_{\mathcal{T},0}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\Psi_{s,x}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}|\mathcal{F}_{s-}] ilde{\mathcal{N}}(ds,dx).$$

### EXAMPLE 2 III

Observe that

$$\Psi_{s,x}S_{T}(\omega) = S_{T}(e^{x} - 1), \ \ell \times \nu_{L} \times \mathbb{Q} - a.s.,$$

and this process belongs to  $L^1(\Omega \times \Theta_{\infty,0})$  if and only if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^x - 1|\nu_L(dx) < \infty$ . Then, in this case, we have

$$S_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_{\mathcal{T}}) + \int_{\Theta_{\mathcal{T},0}} e^{r(\mathcal{T}-s)}(e^{x}-1)S_{s-}\tilde{N}(ds,dx).$$

So, this result covers Lévy processes with finite activity and Lévy processes with infinite activity but finite variation.

Consider a pure jump volatility modulated additive driven Volterra (VMAV) process *X* defined as

$$X(t) = \int_0^t g(t,s)\sigma(s)dJ(s)$$

provided the integral is well defined. Here *J* is a pure jump additive processes, *g* is a deterministic function and  $\sigma$  is a predictable process with respect the natural completed filtration of *J*.

(4) E > (4) E >

Recall that using the Lévy-Itô representation J can be written as

$$J(t) = \Gamma_t + \int_{\Theta_{t,0} - \Theta_{t,1}} x \tilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{\Theta_{t,1}} x N(ds, dx),$$

Aarhus, August 2016

55 / 74

where  $\Gamma$  is a continuous deterministic function that we assume of bounded variation in order to admit integration with respect  $d\Gamma$ .

For each t,  $X_t$  is well defined if

$$(H1): \int_0^\infty |g(t,s)\sigma(s)|d\Gamma_s < \infty,$$
$$(H2): \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} (1 \wedge (g(t,s)\sigma(s)x)^2)\nu(dx,ds) < \infty,$$

and

$$(H3): \int_{\Theta_{\infty,0}} |g(t,s)\sigma(s)x[\mathrm{1\!\!1}_{\{|g(t,s)\sigma(s)x|\leq 1\}} - \mathrm{1\!\!1}_{\{|x|\leq 1\}}]|\nu(dx,ds) < \infty.$$

Hereafter we discuss the problem of developing an integration theory with respect to X as integrator, i.e. to give a meaning to

$$\int_0^t Y(s) dX(s)$$

for a fixed t and a suitable stochastic processes Y.

- Exploiting the representation of *J*, an integration with respect to *X* can be treated as the sum of integrals with respect to the corresponding components of *J*.
- It is enough to define integrals with respect  $\int_0^t g(t,s)\sigma(s)d\Gamma_s$ ,  $\int_0^t \int_{|x|\leq 1} g(t,s)\sigma(s)x\tilde{N}(ds,dx)$  and  $\int_0^t \int_{|x|>1} g(t,s)\sigma(s)xN(ds,dx)$ .
- Under the assumptions that Γ has finite variation and using the fact that N on [0, t] × {|x| > δ}, for any δ > 0, is a.s. a finite measure, the integration with respect to the first and third term presents no difficulties.

- We have to discuss the second term, specifically the case when *J* has infinite activity and the corresponding *X* is not a semimartingale. In fact, if *X* was a semimartingale, we could perform the integration in the Itô sense.
- We can refer to [BBPV] for a discussion of the the conditions on *g* in order *X* be or not a semimartingale
- In [BBPV], an integral with respect to a non semimartingale X driven by a Lévy process by means of the Malliavin-Skorohod calculus is defined. Their technique is naturally constrained to an L<sup>2</sup> setting.

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ヨッ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Within the framework presented in this paper, we can extend the definition proposed in [BBPV] to reach out for additive processes beyond the  $L^2$  setting.

Assume the following hypothesis on X and Y:

For s ≥ 0, the mapping t → g(t, s) is of bounded variation on any interval [u, v] ⊆ (s, ∞).

• The function

$$\mathcal{K}_{g}(\textbf{Y})(t, oldsymbol{s}) := oldsymbol{Y}(oldsymbol{s}) g(t, oldsymbol{s}) + \int_{oldsymbol{s}}^{t} (oldsymbol{Y}(u) - oldsymbol{Y}(oldsymbol{s})) g(du, oldsymbol{s}), \quad t > oldsymbol{s},$$

is well defined a.s., in the sense that (Y(u) - Y(s)) is integrable with respect to g(du, s) as a pathwise Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

. . . . . . . .

The mappings

$$(s, x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s)\sigma(s)x \mathbb{1}_{\Theta_{t,0}-\Theta_{t,1}}(s, x)$$

and

$$(s, x) \longrightarrow \Psi_{s,x}(\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s)\sigma(s))x \mathbb{1}_{\Theta_{t,0}-\Theta_{t,1}}(s, x)$$

belong to  $Dom\Phi$ .

医下子 医

Then, the following integral, is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t Y(s) d(\int_0^s \int_{|x| \le 1} g(s, u) \sigma(u) x \tilde{N}(du, dx)) \\ &:= & \Phi(x \mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s) \sigma(s) \mathbb{1}_{\Theta_{t,0} - \Theta_{t,1}}(s, x)) \\ &+ & \Phi(x \Psi_{s,x}(\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s)) \sigma(s) \mathbb{1}_{\Theta_{t,0} - \Theta_{t,1}}(s, x)) \\ &+ & \mathcal{E}(x \Psi_{s,x}(\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s)) \sigma(s) \mathbb{1}_{\Theta_{t,0} - \Theta_{t,1}}(s, x)). \end{aligned}$$

• = • • =

- This result extends the definition in [BBPV] to any pure jump additive process *J*, i.e. beyond square integrability.
- The proof relies on the definitions of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and the developed calculus rules.
- In the finite activity case,

$$L^2(\Theta_{\infty,0} imes \Omega^J) \subseteq L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} imes \Omega^J)$$

and our result is an extension of the definition in [BBPV].

 In the infinite activity case, our Theorem covers cases not covered by [BBPV] and viceversa.

63 / 74

Aarhus, August 2016

### EXAMPLE I

- Hereafter we give a classical example of a pure jump Lévy process without second moment as a driver and we consider a kernel function g of shift type, i.e. it only depends on the difference t s. For simplicity we assume moreover  $\sigma \equiv 1$ . The chosen kernel appears in applications to turbulence.
- Assume *L* to be a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process, for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ . It corresponds to the triplet  $(0, 0, \nu_L)$  with  $\nu_L(dx) = c|x|^{-1-\alpha}dx$ .

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ヨッ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

# EXAMPLE II

Take

$$g(t,s):=(t-s)^{eta-1}e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\mathrm{1\!\!1}_{[0,t)}(s)$$

with  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Note that

$$g(du, s) = -g(u, s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda)du.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 >

Aarhus, August 2016

э

65 / 74

# EXAMPLE III

We concentrate on the component

$$J(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\{|x| \le 1\}} x \tilde{N}(ds, dx),$$

and so first of all on the definition of the integral

$$X(t):=\int_0^t g(t,s) dJ(s)=\int_0^t \int_{|x|\leq 1} g(t,s) x ilde{\mathsf{N}}(ds,dx), \ t\geq 0.$$

→ E → < E</p>

< A >

### EXAMPLE IV

In relation with this integral, that is not a semimartingale, we have four situations:

If α ∈ (0, 1) and β > ½, g(t, s)x belongs to L<sup>1</sup> ∩ L<sup>2</sup>
If α ∈ (0, 1) and β ≤ ½, g(t, s)x belongs to L<sup>1</sup> but not to L<sup>2</sup>.
If α ∈ [1, 2) and β > ½, g(t, s)x belongs to L<sup>2</sup> but not to L<sup>1</sup>.
If α ∈ [1, 2) and β ≤ ½, g(t, s)x belongs not to L<sup>2</sup> nor to L<sup>1</sup>.
Only in case (4) the integral is not necessarily well defined.

. . . . . . . .

### EXAMPLE V

Just to show the types of computation involved, let us consider the particular case of a  $\mathcal{VMAV}$  process as integrand. Namely,

$$Y(s) = \int_0^s \int_{|x| \le 1} \phi(s-u) x \tilde{N}(du, dx), \quad 0 \le s \le T,$$

where  $\phi$  is a positive continuous function such that the integral *Y* is well defined.

Consider the case  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , not covered by [BBPV] if  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### EXAMPLE VI

In order to see that  $\int_0^t Y(s-)dX(s)$  is well defined we have to check:

- The process (Y(u) Y(s)) is integrable with respect to g(du, s) on (s, t], as a pathwise Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.
- O The mappings

$$(s,x) \longrightarrow x\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t,s) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}$$

and

$$(s,x) \longrightarrow x \Psi_{s,x}(\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t,s)) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}$$

belong to  $Dom\Phi$ .

A B + A B +

# EXAMPLE VII

#### We have

$$\mathcal{K}_{g}(Y)(t,s) = g(t,s) \int_{[0,s)} \int_{|x| \le 1} \phi(s-v) x \tilde{N}(dv, dx)$$
  
- 
$$\int_{s}^{t} g(u,s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda) \int_{[s,u)} \int_{|x| \le 1} \phi(u-v) x \tilde{N}(dv, dx) du$$
  
- 
$$\int_{s}^{t} g(u,s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda) \int_{[0,s)} \int_{|x| \le 1} [\phi(u-v) - \phi(s-v)] x \tilde{N}(dv, dx) du$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

æ

# EXAMPLE VIII

In terms of  $\Phi$  we can rewrite

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}_{g}(Y)(t,s) \\ &= g(t,s)\Phi(\phi(s-\cdot)x1\!\!1_{\{|x|\leq 1\}}1\!\!1_{[0,s)}) \\ &- \int_{s}^{t}g(u,s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda)\Phi(\phi(u-\cdot)x1\!\!1_{\{|x|\leq 1\}}1\!\!1_{[s,u)}(\cdot))du \\ &- \int_{s}^{t}g(u,s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda)\Phi([\phi(u-\cdot)-\phi(s-\cdot)]x1\!\!1_{\{|x|\leq 1\}}1\!\!1_{[0,s)}(\cdot))du. \end{split}$$

Moreover we have

$$\Psi_{s,x}\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t,s) = -x \operatorname{1\!\!1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} \int_s^t g(u,s)\phi(u-s)(\frac{1-\beta}{u-s}+\lambda)\operatorname{1\!\!1}_{[0,u)}(s)du.$$

э

(日)

### EXAMPLE IX

So, it is enough to check that the mappings

$$(s,x) \longrightarrow x\mathcal{K}_{g}(Y)(t,s) 1\!\!1_{[0,t]}(s) 1\!\!1_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}$$

and

$$(s, x) \longrightarrow x \Psi_{s,x}(\mathcal{K}_g(Y)(t, s)) 1\!\!1_{[0,t]}(s) 1\!\!1_{\{|x| \le 1\}}$$

are in  $L^1(\Theta_{\infty,0} \times \Omega)$ .

э

#### EXAMPLE X

If for example we consider the case  $\phi(y) = y^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\beta + \gamma \ge 1$  is not difficult to check the mappings are in  $L^1$  and we conclude that the integral

$$\int_0^t Y(s-)dX(s)$$

is well defined.

A B + A B +

#### Thank you for the attention

Tak

Gràcies

Josep Vives (UB)

Aarhus, August 2016 74 / 74